Monday Dec 8th

We discussed the accomplishments done through the weekend. The user and owner CUD views where almost completed. A good rough shell of the editRestaurant view was shown and the restaurant view was not visualized but on its way. We identified some difficult correlations between the restaurantView and the editRestaurant view since they both rely on the structure of the database to be used. Here again the schedule issue came into discussion. Sergiu mentioned that he thought having an opening time and an hours open per day was a waste of space. So we talked about having it in a different structure. We decided that it would be RestID, day of the week, opening time, hours open. These way each restaurant would have at least 7 entries (each day of the week), but the table would be less complicated. At this point Kevin was done with the database and wanted to take on another challenge. So the tasks to be accomplished for the next sprint are:

* Hampus will continue on the restaurantView
* Sergiu will continue on the editRestaurant view
* Enrique would finish up on the owners and user view (only thing missing was reviews)
* Kevin would do the necessary changes in the SQL translator to be able to avoid SQL injection through prepared statements.

We had the chance also to meet Håkan and were able to discuss with him the challenges we were facing. We asked mostly about data type constraints and how to handle the information given by the user (validation). We decided that simple validation should be used on some data types that are very clear (like zip code and phone number) in which only one logical type should be allowed.

This new requirement should be integrated into the current tasks. The tasks at hand are the same however after the current sprint the only task left should be the Query through name. This task is very similar to functionality in the EditRestaurants view so that a copy paste of the basic code should apply the functionality to the Query View to be able to query though the name of the restaurant.

During the meeting there has been a little bit of discussion about the structure of the project (Håkan mentioned to follow one structure along the whole project). There is a big gray line between over-coding a class and over-classing a project. There are different points of view of what is best (or even correct). A consensus was not reached and therefore the structure is still to be discussed. As of now the program should be structured as we have done so far.